

OFFICIAL

Week	PPS	V1 OPERATOR COMMENTS	V1 NR COMMENTS	V2 OPERATOR COMMENTS	V2 NR COMMENTS
13	3922610	<p>Blockade of Chinley.</p> <p>This possession is severely disruptive to our customers and our business, this piece of work needs to move to Christmas period when our customers have a shutdown period. H52 and Cemex flows will not be able to run.</p> <p>GBRF cannot support this access during this time of the year.</p>	<p>The dating of this work in Summer relates to the risk to delivery if it is dated in the Winter. The location is in a particularly exposed location in the High Peaks and therefore the project needs to remain in the Summer. NR acknowledge that the impact on the freight business is significant however the condition of the structure is poor and failure to address could result in operational disruption. We could look at covering the August BH but this would need support of all stakeholders and availability of strategic resources.</p>	<p>Disputed as per our comments at version 1.</p> <p>Possession would be more workable if there was a diversionary option, but without the use of the Buxton crossovers to run services, will mean we are unable to operate any services at all. The blockade will impact on all three quarries we serve (as well as our competitors). We simply cannot agree a 10 day blockade without an option of running services, this will be severely damaging to all our customers we serve.</p>	<p>The challenge has been put to the project to further define the requirements as highlighted there is a concern over the ability to maintain the future performance of operations in this area without a significant intervention of this type. There are still potential opportunities to provide a level of service via NW9021 which would need to be co-ordinated with local stakeholders and we have also escalated to the Route the potential benefits of addressing the installation of the Buxton S&C.</p>